

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/616,330

Applicant(s)

STANTON ET AL.

Examiner

Greg Bengzon

Art Unit

2144

All Participants:

(1) Greg Bengzon.

(2) _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mary Jo Bertani, Reg. NO. 42321.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Upon authorization from SPE Vaughn, Examiner Bengzon contacted applicant representative to discuss Examiner Amendment for Claim 36 to reflect claim dependency on Claim 22 instead of cancelled Claim 35.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(Examiner/Supervisory Center 2100)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

